



King's Research Portal

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Desrivieres, S., Chu, C., & Schumann, G. (Accepted/In press). Novel alcohol-related genes suggest shared genetic mechanisms with neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nature Human Behaviour*.

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Novel alcohol-related genes suggest shared genetic mechanisms with neuropsychiatric disorders

Evangelos Evangelou^{1,2‡}, He Gao^{1,3‡}, Congying Chu^{4‡}, Georgios Ntritsos^{2‡}, Paul Blakeley^{1,5}, Andrew R Butts⁶, Raha Pazoki¹, Hideaki Suzuki^{7,8}, Fotios Koskeridis², Andrianos M Yiorkas^{9,10}, Ibrahim Karaman^{1,11}, Joshua Elliott¹, Qiang Luo^{12,13}, Stefanie Aeschbacher¹⁴, Traci M Bartz^{15,16}, Sebastian E Baumeister^{17,18}, Peter S Braund^{19,20}, Michael R Brown²¹, Jennifer A Brody¹⁵, Toni-Kim Clarke²², Niki Dimou², Jessica D Faul²³, Georg Homuth²⁴, Anne U Jackson²⁵, Katherine A Kentistou^{26,27}, Peter K Joshi²⁶, Rozenn N Lemaitre¹⁵, Penelope A Lind²⁸, Leo-Pekka Lyytikäinen²⁹⁻³¹, Massimo Mangino^{32,33}, Yuri Milaneschi³⁴, Christopher P Nelson^{19,20}, Ilja M Nolte³⁵, Mia-Maria Perälä^{36,37}, Ozren Polasek³⁸, David Porteous^{39,40}, Scott M Ratliff⁴¹, Jennifer A Smith^{23,41}, Alena Stančáková⁴², Alexander Teumer^{17,43}, Samuli Tuominen⁴⁴, Sébastien Thériault^{45,46}, Jagadish Vangipurapu⁴², John B Whitfield⁴⁷, Alexis Wood⁴⁸, Jie Yao⁴⁹, Bing Yu²¹, Wei Zhao⁴¹, Dan E Arking⁵⁰, Juha Auvinen^{51,52}, Chunyu Liu⁵³, Minna Männikkö⁵⁴, Lorenz Risch⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷, Jerome I Rotter⁵⁸, Harold Snieder³⁵, Juha Veijola⁵⁹⁻⁶¹, Alexandra I Blakemore^{9,10}, Michael Boehnke²⁵, Harry Campbell²⁶, David Conen⁴⁵, Johan G Eriksson⁶²⁻⁶⁴, Hans J Grabe^{65,66}, Xiuqing Guo⁴⁹, Pim van der Harst⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹, Catharina A Hartman⁷⁰, Caroline Hayward⁷¹, Andrew C Heath⁷², Marjo-Riitta Jarvelin⁷³⁻⁷⁷, Mika Kähönen^{78,79}, Sharon LR Kardina⁴¹, Michael Kühne¹⁴, Johanna Kuusisto⁸⁰, Markku Laakso⁸⁰, Jari Lahti⁴⁴, Terho Lehtimäki^{29,30}, Andrew M McIntosh^{22,40}, Karen L Mohlke⁸¹, Alanna C Morrison²¹, Nicholas G Martin⁴⁷, Albertine J Oldehinkel⁷⁰, Brenda WJH Penninx³⁴, Bruce M Psaty^{82,83}, Olli T Raitakari^{84,85}, Igor Rudan²⁶, Nilesh J Samani^{19,20}, Laura J Scott²⁵, Tim D Spector³², Niek Verweij⁶⁷, David R Weir²³, James F Wilson^{26,71}, Daniel Levy^{86,87}, Ioanna Tzoulaki¹⁻³, Jimmy D Bell^{88‡}, Paul M. Matthews^{7,11‡}, Adrian Rothenfluh^{6,89‡}, Sylvane Desrivières^{4‡}, Gunter Schumann^{4*‡}, Paul Elliott^{1,3,11,90,91*‡}

‡ Equal contribution

* Corresponding authors

1. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Imperial College London, London, UK.
2. Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, University of Ioannina Medical School, Ioannina, Greece.
3. MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, London, UK.
4. Medical Research Council-Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London SE5 8AF, United Kingdom.
5. NIHR Imperial Biomedical Research Centre, ITMAT Data Science Group, Imperial College London, UK.
6. Molecular Medicine; School of Medicine; University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT.

- 46 7. Centre for Restorative Neurosciences, Division of Brain Sciences,
47 Department of Medicine, Hammersmith Campus, Imperial College
48 London, Du Cane Road, London, W12 0NN, UK.
- 49 8. Tohoku Medical Megabank Organization, Tohoku University, 2-1 Seiryō,
50 Aoba, Sendai, 980-8573, Japan.
- 51 9. Department of Life Sciences, Brunel University London, London, UK.
- 52 10. Section of Investigative Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK.
- 53 11. UK Dementia Research Institute, Imperial College London, London, United
54 Kingdom.
- 55 12. Institute of Science and Technology for Brain-Inspired Intelligence, MOE-
56 Key Laboratory of Computational Neuroscience and Brain-Inspired
57 Intelligence, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, PR China.
- 58 13. Department of Psychology and the Behavioural and Clinical Neuroscience
59 Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EB, United Kingdom.
- 60 14. Cardiology Division, University Hospital Basel, 4031 Basel, Switzerland.
- 61 15. Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Department of Medicine, University
62 of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA.
- 63 16. Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.
- 64 17. Institute for Community Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald, 17475
65 Greifswald, Germany.
- 66 18. Chair of Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, UNIKA-
67 T Augsburg, 86156 Augsburg, Germany.
- 68 19. Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leicester,
69 Cardiovascular Research Centre, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, LE3 9QP,
70 UK.
- 71 20. NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Centre, Glenfield Hospital, Groby
72 Road, Leicester, LE3 9QP, UK.
- 73 21. Human Genetics Center, Department of Epidemiology, Human Genetics &
74 Environmental Sciences, School of Public Health, The University of Texas
75 Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX 77030 USA.
- 76 22. Department of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK, EH10
77 5HF.
- 78 23. Survey Research Center, Institute for Social Research, University of
79 Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.
- 80 24. Interfaculty Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, University
81 Medicine Greifswald, 17475 Greifswald, Germany.
- 82 25. Department of Biostatistics and Center for Statistical Genetics, University
83 of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA.
- 84 26. Centre for Global Health Research, Usher Institute for Population Health
85 Sciences and Informatics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH8 9AG,
86 UK.
- 87 27. Centre for Cardiovascular Sciences, Queens Medical Research Institute,
88 University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH16 4TJ, Scotland.
- 89 28. Psychiatric Genetics, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute,
90 Brisbane, QLD 4006, Australia.

- 91 29. Department of Clinical Chemistry, Fimlab Laboratories, Tampere 33520,
92 Finland.
- 93 30. Department of Clinical Chemistry, Finnish Cardiovascular Research
94 Center - Tampere, Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, University of
95 Tampere, Tampere 33014, Finland.
- 96 31. Department of Cardiology, Heart Center, Tampere University Hospital,
97 Tampere 33521, Finland.
- 98 32. Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, Kings College
99 London, London SE1 7EH, UK.
- 100 33. NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at Guys and St Thomas Foundation
101 Trust, London SE1 9RT, UK.
- 102 34. Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam Neuroscience and Amsterdam
103 Public Health research institute, Amsterdam University Medical Center,
104 1081 HJ Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- 105 35. Department of Epidemiology, University Medical Center Groningen,
106 University of Groningen, The Netherlands.
- 107 36. Folkhälsan Research Center, 00290 Helsinki, Finland.
- 108 37. Department of Public Health Solutions, National Institute for Health and
109 Welfare, 00271 Helsinki, Finland.
- 110 38. Faculty of Medicine, University of Split, Split, 21000, Croatia.
- 111 39. Generation Scotland, Medical Genetics Section, Centre for Genomic and
112 Experimental Medicine, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine,
113 University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK, EH4 2XU.
- 114 40. Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, Edinburgh, UK,
115 EH8 9JZ.
- 116 41. Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.
- 117 42. Institute of Clinical Medicine, Internal Medicine, University of Eastern
118 Finland, 70210 Kuopio, Finland.
- 119 43. DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), partner site
120 Greifswald, 17475 Greifswald, Germany.
- 121 44. Department of Psychology and Logopedics, Faculty of Medicine, 00014
122 University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
- 123 45. Population Health Research Institute, McMaster University, L8L 2X2
124 Hamilton, Canada.
- 125 46. Department of Molecular Biology, Medical Biochemistry and Pathology,
126 Laval University, G1V 0A6 Quebec City, Canada.
- 127 47. Genetic Epidemiology, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute,
128 Brisbane, QLD 4006, Australia.
- 129 48. Department of Pediatrics/Nutrition, Baylor College of Medicine, 1 Baylor
130 Plaza, Houston, Texas 77030 USA.
- 131 49. The Institute for Translational Genomics and Population Sciences,
132 Department of Pediatrics, Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at
133 Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, California 90502, USA.
- 134 50. McKusick-Nathans Institute of Genetic Medicine, Johns Hopkins
135 University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

- 136 51. Center for Life Course Health Research, Faculty of Medicine, University of
137 Oulu, 90220, Oulu, Finland.
- 138 52. Oulunkaari Health Center, 91100 Ii, Finland.
- 139 53. Department of Biostatistics, Boston University School of Public Health.
- 140 54. Northern Finland Birth Cohorts, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oulu,
141 90220, Oulu, Finland.
- 142 55. Institute of Clinical Chemistry, Inselspital Bern, University Hospital,
143 University of Bern, 3010 Bern, Switzerland.
- 144 56. Labormedizinisches Zentrum Dr. Risch, Vaduz, Liechtenstein.
- 145 57. Private University of the Principality of Liechtenstein, Triesen,
146 Liechtenstein.
- 147 58. The Institute for Translational Genomics and Population Sciences,
148 Departments of Pediatrics and Medicine, Los Angeles Biomedical
149 Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, California
150 90502, USA.
- 151 59. Department of Psychiatry, Research Unit of Clinical Neuroscience, 90014
152 University of Oulu, Finland.
- 153 60. Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital of Oulu, 90220 Oulu,
154 Finland.
- 155 61. Medical research Center Oulu, University and University Hospital of Oulu,
156 90220 Oulu, Finland.
- 157 62. Department of General Practice and Primary health Care, Tukholmankatu
158 8 B , 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland.
- 159 63. National Institute for Health and Welfare, 00271 Helsinki, Finland.
- 160 64. Helsinki University Central Hospital, Unit of General Practice, 00290
161 Helsinki, Finland.
- 162 65. Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medicine
163 Greifswald, 17475 Greifswald, Germany.
- 164 66. German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE),
165 Rostock/Greifswald, 17475 Greifswald, Germany.
- 166 67. University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen,
167 Department of Cardiology, the Netherlands.
- 168 68. University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen,
169 Department of Genetics, Groningen, The Netherlands.
- 170 69. Durrer Center for Cardiogenetic Research, ICIN-Netherlands Heart
171 Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- 172 70. Department of Psychiatry, University Medical Center Groningen,
173 University of Groningen, 9713 GZ Groningen, The Netherlands.
- 174 71. MRC Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine,
175 University of Edinburgh, Western General Hospital, Crewe Road,
176 Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, UK.
- 177 72. Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Washington University in
178 St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA.

- 179 73. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, MRC-PHE Centre for
180 Environment and Health, School of Public Health, Imperial College
181 London, London, W2 1PG, United Kingdom.
- 182 74. Center for Life Course Health Research, Faculty of Medicine, University of
183 Oulu, PO Box 8000, FI-90014 Oulun yliopisto, Finland.
- 184 75. Biocenter Oulu, University of Oulu, Aapistie 5, 90220 Oulu, Finland.
- 185 76. Unit of Primary Health Care, Oulu University Hospital, OYS, Kajaanintie
186 50, 90220 Oulu, Finland.
- 187 77. Department of Life Sciences, College of Health and Life Sciences, Brunel
188 University London, Kingston Lane, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, United
189 Kingdom.
- 190 78. Department of Clinical Physiology, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere
191 33521, Finland.
- 192 79. Department of Clinical Physiology, Finnish Cardiovascular Research
193 Center - Tampere, Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, University of
194 Tampere, Tampere 33014, Finland.
- 195 80. Institute of Clinical Medicine, Internal Medicine, University of Eastern
196 Finland, and Kuopio University Hospital, 70210 Kuopio, Finland.
- 197 81. Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC,
198 USA.
- 199 82. Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Departments of Medicine,
200 Epidemiology, and Health Services, University of Washington, Seattle, WA,
201 98101.
- 202 83. Kaiser Permanente Washington Health Research Institute, Seattle, WA,
203 98101.
- 204 84. Department of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Turku
205 University Hospital, Turku 20521, Finland.
- 206 85. Research Centre of Applied and Preventive Cardiovascular Medicine,
207 University of Turku, Turku 20014, Finland.
- 208 86. Framingham Heart Study, Framingham, MA, USA.
- 209 87. Population Sciences Branch, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute,
210 National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD.
- 211 88. Research Centre for Optimal Health, Department of Life Sciences,
212 University of Westminster, London, W1W 6UW.
- 213 89. Departments of Psychiatry, Neurobiology & Anatomy, Human Genetics;
214 School of Medicine; University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT.
- 215 90. National Institute for Health Research Imperial Biomedical Research
216 Centre, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust and Imperial College
217 London, London, UK.
- 218 91. Health Data Research-UK London substantive site, London, UK.
- 219 Corresponding authors: Gunter Schumann (gunter.schumann@kcl.ac.uk) and Paul
220 Elliott (p.elliott@imperial.ac.uk)
- 221
- 222
- 223

224

225

226 **ABSTRACT**

227 Excessive alcohol consumption is one of the main causes of death and disability
228 worldwide. Alcohol consumption is a heritable complex trait. We conducted a
229 meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of gram/day (g/d) alcohol
230 consumption in UK-Biobank, AlcGen and CHARGE+ consortia accumulating 480,842
231 people of European descent to decipher the genetic architecture of alcohol intake.
232 We identified 46 novel, common loci, and investigated their potential functional
233 significance using magnetic resonance imaging data and gene expression studies.
234 Our results identify genetic pathways associated with alcohol consumption and
235 suggest shared genetic mechanisms with neuropsychiatric disorders including
236 schizophrenia.

237

238 Excessive alcohol consumption is a major public health problem that is responsible
239 for 2.2% and 6.8% age-standardized deaths for women and men respectively¹. Most
240 genetic studies of alcohol use focus on alcohol dependency, although the population
241 burden of alcohol-related disease mainly reflects a broader range of alcohol
242 consumption behaviors². Small reductions in alcohol consumption could have major
243 public health benefits; even moderate amounts of alcohol/day may have significant
244 impact on mortality³.

245 Alcohol consumption is a heritable complex trait⁴, but genetic studies to date have
246 robustly identified only a small number of associated genetic variants⁵⁻⁸. These
247 include variants in the aldehyde dehydrogenase (ADH) gene family, a group of
248 enzymes that catalyze the oxidation of aldehydes⁹, including a cluster of genes on
249 chromosome 4q23 (*ADH1B*, *ADH1C*, *ADH5*, *ADH6*, *ADH7*)⁶.

250 Here, we report a GWAS meta-analysis of alcohol intake (log transformed g/day)
251 among people of European ancestry drawn from UK Biobank (UKB)¹⁰, the Alcohol
252 Genome-Wide Consortium (AlcGen) and the Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in
253 Genomic Epidemiology Plus (CHARGE+) consortia. Briefly, UKB is a prospective
254 cohort study of ~500,000 individuals recruited between the ages of 40 and 69 years.
255 Participants were asked to report their average weekly and monthly alcohol
256 consumption through a self-completed touchscreen questionnaire¹⁰. Based on these
257 reports, we calculated the g/d alcohol intake (**Methods**). Participants were
258 genotyped using a customized array with imputation from the Haplotype Reference
259 Consortium (HRC) panel¹¹, yielding ~7 million common single nucleotide
260 polymorphisms (SNPs) with minor allele frequency (MAF) $\geq 1\%$ and imputation
261 quality score [INFO] ≥ 0.1 . After quality control (QC) and exclusions (**Methods**) we
262 performed GWAS of alcohol consumption using data from 404,731 UKB participants
263 of European descent under an additive genetic model (**Methods and Supplementary**
264 **Table 1**). We found that genomic inflation in the UKB analysis was $\lambda_{GC}=1.45$, but did
265 not adjust for inflation as the LD score regression intercept was 1.05, indicating that
266 this was due to polygenicity rather than to population stratification¹². The estimated
267 SNP-wide heritability of alcohol consumption in the UKB data was 0.09.

268 We also carried out GWAS in 25 independent studies from the AlcGen and CHARGE+
269 consortia including 76,111 participants of European descent for which alcohol g/d
270 could be calculated (**Supplementary Table 2**). Various arrays were used for
271 genotyping, with imputations performed using either the 1,000 Genomes Reference
272 Panel or the HRC platforms (**Supplementary Table 3**). After QC, we applied genomic

273 control at the individual study level and obtained summary results for ~7 million
274 SNPs with imputation quality score ≥ 0.3 (**Methods**).

275 We combined the UKB, AlcGen and CHARGE+ results using a fixed effects inverse
276 variance weighted approach for a total of 480,842 individuals¹³. To maximize power,
277 we performed a single-stage analysis to test common SNPs with MAF $\geq 1\%$. We set a
278 stringent P -value threshold of $P < 5 \times 10^{-9}$ to denote significance in the combined
279 meta-analysis¹⁴, and required signals to be at $P < 5 \times 10^{-7}$ in UKB, with same direction
280 of effect in UKB and AlcGen plus CHARGE+, to minimize false positive findings. We
281 excluded SNPs within 500kb of variants reported as genome-wide significant in
282 previous GWAS of alcohol consumption^{5,6}, identified novel loci by requiring SNPs to
283 be independent of each other (LD $r^2 < 0.1$), and selected the sentinel SNP within each
284 locus according to lowest P -value (**Methods**).

285 We then tested for correlations of alcohol-associated SNPs with Magnetic Resonance
286 Imaging (MRI) phenotypes of brain, heart and liver, and gene expression. We tested
287 the sentinel SNPs for association with other traits/diseases and *Drosophila* mutant
288 models were used to investigate functional effects on ethanol-induced behavior.

289 RESULTS

290 Our meta-analysis identified 46 novel loci associated with alcohol consumption (log
291 transformed g/day) (**Fig. 1 and Table 1**). All inferential statistics for the novel loci are
292 reported in Table 1 whereas heterogeneity metrics are presented in **Supplementary**
293 **Table 4**. In addition, we discovered a further eight variants in the combined analysis
294 at nominal genome-wide significance ($P < 1 \times 10^{-8}$) that may also be associated with
295 alcohol intake (**Supplementary Table 5**). The most significantly associated variant,
296 rs1991556 ($P = 4.5 \times 10^{-23}$), is an intronic variant in *MAPT* gene that encodes the
297 microtubule-associated protein tau, and was found through Phenoscanner not only
298 to be associated with dementia¹⁵ and Parkinson's disease^{16,17}, but also with
299 neuroticism, schizophrenia¹⁸ and other traits¹⁹⁻²¹ (**Methods, Fig. 2 and**
300 **Supplementary Table 6**). The second most significantly associated variant is
301 rs1004787 ($P = 6.7 \times 10^{-17}$), near *SIX3* gene, which encodes a member of the sine
302 oculis homeobox transcription factor family involved in eye development²². The third
303 SNP is rs13107325 ($P = 1.3 \times 10^{-15}$), a missense SNP in *SLC39A8*
304 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/64116>), a gene that encodes a member of the
305 SLC39 family of metal ion transporters, which has been associated with

306 schizophrenia²³ as well as inflammatory bowel disease, cardiovascular and metabolic
307 phenotypes²⁴⁻²⁷ in previous GWAS (**Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 6**).
308 Another of our most significant variants, an intronic SNP rs7121986 ($P = 6.2 \times 10^{-14}$)
309 in *DRD2* (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/1813>), encodes the dopamine
310 receptor D2 that has been associated with cocaine addiction, neuroticism and
311 schizophrenia¹⁸. We also found significant associations with SNP rs988748 ($P = 4.4 \times$
312 10^{-9}) in the *BDNF* gene (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/627>), that encodes a
313 member of the nerve growth factor family of proteins and rs7517344, which is near
314 *ELAVL4* (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/1996>) ($P = 2.0 \times 10^{-10}$), the gene
315 product of which is involved in BDNF regulation²⁸. Previous studies have suggested
316 that a variant in *BDNF* is associated with alcohol consumption and that alcohol
317 consumption modulates BDNF expression²⁹.

318
319 Additionally, we found association of alcohol consumption with SNP rs838145 ($P =$
320 3.2×10^{-15}), which has been associated with macronutrient intake in a previous
321 GWAS³⁰. This variant is nearest *IZUMO* (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/284359>)
322 in a locus of around 50kb that spans a number of genes including *FGF21*
323 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/26291>), whose gene product FGF21 is a liver
324 hormone involved in the regulation of alcohol preference, glucose and lipid
325 metabolism³¹. We previously reported significant association of alcohol intake with
326 SNP rs11940694 in *KLB* (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/152831>), an obligate
327 receptor of FGF21 in the brain⁵, and we strongly replicated that finding here ($P = 3.3$
328 $\times 10^{-68}$).

329
330 As well as variants in *KLB* and in the alcohol dehydrogenase locus (smallest $P = 1.2 \times$
331 10^{-125}), we found support ($P = 1 \times 10^{-5}$) for association of common variants in the
332 three other alcohol intake-related loci previously reported in GWAS (**Supplementary**
333 **Table 7**), including SNP rs6943555 in *AUTS2*
334 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/26053>) ($P = 2.9 \times 10^{-6}$). In addition, we found a
335 novel alcohol intake-related SNP rs1421085 in *FTO*
336 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/79068>) in high LD ($r^2 = 0.92$) with a variant
337 reported previously as genome-wide significant for association with alcohol
338 dependence³².

339
340 Conditional analysis using Genome-wide Complex Trait Analysis (GCTA) did not
341 reveal any independent secondary signals related to alcohol consumption. Among
342 ~14,000 individuals in the independent Airwave cohort³³ (**Methods**), 7% of the
343 variance in alcohol consumption was explained by the novel and known common

344 variants. Using weights from our analysis, we constructed an unbiased weighted
345 genetic risk score (GRS) in Airwave (**Methods**) and found a strong association of the
346 novel and known variants on alcohol consumption levels ($P = 2.75 \times 10^{-14}$), with mean
347 difference in sex-adjusted alcohol intake of 2.6 g/d comparing the top vs the bottom
348 quintile of the GRS (**Supplementary Table 8**).
349

350 **Associations with MRI imaging phenotypes**

351 We functionally characterized novel variants by carrying out single-SNP analyses of
352 the imaging phenotypes in UKB (**Methods**), focusing on brain (N=9,702), heart
353 (N=10,706) and liver (N=8,479).

354 With Bonferroni correction (corrected P -value 6.6×10^{-6} , corresponding to 0.05/46
355 SNPs*164 imaging phenotypes), we found significant positive associations between
356 SNP rs13107325 in *SLC39A8* and the volumes of multiple brain regions; All inferential
357 statistics for these associations are reported in **Supplementary Table 9**. The
358 strongest associations were with putamen (left: $P = 2.5 \times 10^{-45}$, right: $P = 2.8 \times 10^{-47}$),
359 ventral striatum (left: $P = 9.5 \times 10^{-53}$, right: $P = 9.6 \times 10^{-51}$) and cerebellum (strongest
360 association for left I-IV volume; $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-9}$) (**Supplementary Table 9**); similar
361 findings were recently reported in a GWAS on brain imaging in UKB³⁴. The other
362 significant association was for rs1991556 with the parahippocampal gyrus ($P = 1.2 \times$
363 10^{-6}).

364 We then tested these brain regions for association with alcohol consumption and
365 found a significant effect for the left ($t_{8601} = -3.7$; $\beta \pm SE = -0.0019 \pm 0.0005$; $P =$
366 2.0×10^{-4}) and right ($t_{8601} = -3.65$; $\beta \pm SE = -0.0070 \pm 0.0005$; $P = 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$)
367 putamen. Finally, we used data from N= 8,610 individuals and performed a
368 mediation analysis using a standard three-variable path model, bootstrapping 10,000
369 times to calculate the significance of the mediation effect of putamen volume for
370 genetic influences on alcohol consumption (**Methods**). We found evidence that the
371 effect of SNP rs13107325 in *SLC39A8* on alcohol intake is partially mediated via its
372 association with left ($t_{8601} = -3.03$; $\beta \pm SE = -0.27 \pm 0.09$; $P = 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$) and right
373 ($t_{8601} = -2.82$; $\beta \pm SE = -0.27 \pm 0.09$; $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$) putamen volume (**Fig. 3 and**
374 **Supplementary Table 10**). To exclude the possibility of an inverse causal pathway we
375 performed additional analyses in UKB non-drinkers (N =589). With 10,000 random
376 permutations, associations of rs13107325 with both left and right putamen
377 remained significant (left putamen: $t_{541}=1.06$; $P = 0.02$; right putamen: $t_{541}=0.38$; $P =$

378 0.04) indicating that the association between rs13107325 and putamen regions is
379 not mediated by alcohol intake.

380 We did not find any significant associations of novel SNPs with either cardiac (left
381 ventricular mass or end diastolic volume or right ventricular end diastolic volume)
382 (**Supplementary Table 11**) or liver fat measures on MRI (**Supplementary Table 12**),
383 after adjustment for multiple testing.

384 **Effects of SNPs on gene expression**

385 We carried out expression quantitative trait loci eQTL analyses using the Genotype-
386 Tissue Expression (GTEx) and the UK Brain Expression Consortium (UKBEC) datasets;
387 34 of the 53 novel and known SNPs associated with alcohol consumption have a
388 significant effect on gene expression in at least one tissue, including 33 SNPs that
389 affect gene expression in the brain (**Supplementary Tables 13 and 14, and**
390 **Supplementary Figures 1-3**). We found that the most significant eQTLs often do not
391 involve the nearest gene and that several of the SNPs affect expression of different
392 genes in different tissues. For example, SNP rs1991556 in the *MAPT* gene
393 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/4137>) affects expression of 33 genes overall,
394 with most significant effects on the expression of the non-protein coding genes
395 *CRHR1-IT1* (also known as *C17orf69* or *LINC02210*)
396 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/147081>) and *LRRC37A4P*
397 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/?term=LRRC37A4P>), near *MAPT*, across a wide
398 range of tissues including brain, adipose tissue and skin ($P = 7.2 \times 10^{-126}$ to $P = 2.5 \times$
399 10^{-6}) (**Supplementary Figure 2**). Similarly, the A-allele at SNP rs2071305 within
400 *MYBPC3* (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/4607>) affects the expression of
401 several genes and is most significantly associated with increased expression of
402 *C1QTNF4* (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/114900>) across several tissues ($P =$
403 1.9×10^{-25} to $P = 8.4 \times 10^{-5}$).

404 Several of these eQTLs were found to affect expression of genes known to be
405 involved in reward and addiction. SNP rs1053651 in the *TCAP-PNMT-STAR3* gene
406 cluster affects expression of the *PPP1R1B* gene (also known as *DARPP-32*)
407 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/84152>) which encodes a protein that mediates
408 the effects of dopamine in the mesolimbic reward pathway³⁵. Other known
409 addiction-related genes include
410 *ANKK1* (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/255239>) and *DRD2* (expression affected
411 by SNP rs7121986) implicated in alcohol and nicotine dependence^{36,37}, *CRHR1*
412 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/1394>) (affected by SNP rs1991556) involved in

413 stress-mediated alcohol dependence^{38,39} and *PPM1G* (SNP rs1260326)
414 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/5496>) whose epigenetic modification was
415 reported to be associated with alcohol abuse⁴⁰.

416 Over-representation enrichment analyses based on functional annotations and
417 disease-related terms indicated that genes whose expressions are affected by the
418 identified eQTLs are most significantly enriched for terms related to abdominal
419 (n=91) and other malignant cancers, motor function (n= 5) and cellular homeostasis
420 (n= 22) (**Supplementary Figure 4**). We performed a gene-based analysis and
421 repeated the over-representation enrichment analysis adding the new set of
422 identified genes (**Supplementary Table 15**). The results were similar supporting an
423 enrichment for abdominal (n=100) and other cancers, as well as motor function
424 (n=5) and cellular homeostasis (n=24) (**Supplementary Figure 5**).

425 **Other traits and diseases**

426
427 Using LD score regression¹², we assessed genetic correlations between alcohol
428 consumption and 235 complex traits and diseases from publicly available summary
429 GWAS statistics (**Methods**). All results including their statistics (i.e. r_g , standard
430 errors, z value and P value) are included in **Supplementary Table 16**. The strongest
431 positive genetic correlations based on false discovery rate $P < 0.02$ were found for
432 smoking ($r_g = 0.42$, $P = 1.0 \times 10^{-23}$) and HDL cholesterol levels ($r_g = 0.26$, $P = 5.1 \times 10^{-13}$).
433 We also found negative correlations for sleep duration ($r_g = -0.14$, $P = 3.8 \times 10^{-7}$) and
434 fasting insulin levels ($r_g = -0.25$, $P = 4.5 \times 10^{-6}$). A significant genetic correlation was
435 also found with schizophrenia ($r_g = 0.07$, $P = 3.9 \times 10^{-3}$) and bipolar disorder ($r_g = 0.15$,
436 $P = 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$) (**Supplementary Table 16**). Over-representation enrichment analysis
437 using WebGestalt⁴¹ (<http://www.webgestalt.org>) showed that our list of novel and
438 known variants is significantly enriched for several diseases and traits including
439 developmental disorder in children ($P = 7.3 \times 10^{-5}$), epilepsy ($P = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$), heroin
440 dependence ($P = 5.7 \times 10^{-4}$) and schizophrenia ($P = 8.4 \times 10^{-4}$) (**Supplementary Figure**
441 **6**). The result of the Mendelian randomization analysis (**Methods**) to assess a
442 potential causal effect of alcohol on schizophrenia risk, using the inverse variance
443 weighted approach, was not significant ($P = 0.089$), with large heterogeneity of the
444 estimates of the tested variants.

445 **Functional studies in *Drosophila***

446 Based on our GWAS and brain imaging findings we took forward SNP rs13107325 in
447 *SLC39A8* (alias *Zip8* gene) for additional testing in *Drosophila*, which employ

conserved mechanisms to modulate ethanol-induced behaviors^{42,43}. First, we overexpressed human *Zip8* using a Gal4-driver that included expression in neurons involved in multiple ethanol-induced behaviors⁴³. Flies carrying *ics^{Gal4}/+* UAS-*hZip8/+* showed a slight, but significant, resistance to ethanol-induced sedation compared to control flies ($t_{30} = 2.3$; Hedge's $g = 0.80$; 95% CI: 0.08 – 1.53; $P = 0.026$; $N = 16$ per genotype). Ethanol tolerance, induced with repeat exposures spaced by a 4-hour recovery, was unchanged in these flies ($t = 1.0$; $P = 0.33$; **Fig. 4a**). We next used the same Gal4-driver to knock down the endogenous *Drosophila* ortholog of *hZip8*, namely *dZip71B*. This caused the flies to display naïve sensitivity to ethanol-induced sedation ($t_{14} = 3.98$; Hedge's $g = -1.84$; 95% CI: -0.67 – -3.01; $P = 0.0014$; $N = 8$ per genotype), and in addition, these flies developed greater tolerance to ethanol upon repeat exposure ($t_{14} = 4.80$; Hedge's $g = 2.29$; 95% CI: 1.03 – 3.55; $P = 0.0003$; **Fig. 4b**). To corroborate this phenotype, we then tested flies transheterozygous for two independent transposon-insertions in the middle of the *dZip71B* gene (**Supplementary Figure 7**) and found that these *dZip71B^{Mi/MB}* flies also displayed naïve sensitivity ($t_{14} = 3.23$; Hedge's $g = -1.54$; 95% CI: -0.42 – -2.65; $P = 0.006$) and increased ethanol-induced tolerance ($t_{14} = 2.39$; Hedge's $g = 1.13$; 95% CI: 0.07 – 2.18; $P = 0.032$) compared to controls ($N = 8$ each) (**Fig. 4c**).

DISCUSSION

Our discovery utilizing data on common variants from over 480,000 people of European descent extends our knowledge of the genetic architecture of alcohol intake, increasing the number of identified loci to 46. We found loci involved in neuropsychiatric conditions such as schizophrenia, Parkinson's disease and dementia, as well as *BDNF* where gene expression is affected by alcohol abuse. Our findings illustrate that large-scale studies of genetic associations with alcohol intake in the general population, rather than on alcohol dependency alone, can provide additional insights into genetic mechanisms regulating alcohol consumption.

We highlight the role of the highly pleiotropic *MAPT* and *SLC39A8* genes in the genetics of alcohol consumption. *MAPT* plays a key role in tau-associated dementia⁴⁴ and both genes are also implicated in other neuropsychiatric conditions including neuroticism, schizophrenia and Parkinson's disease¹⁶⁻¹⁸. The *SLC39A8* gene encodes a member of the SLC39 family of metal ion transporters. The encoded protein is glycosylated and found in plasma membrane and mitochondria, and is involved in the cellular transport of zinc, modulation of which could affect microglial inflammatory responses⁴⁵. Our gain- and loss-of-function studies in *Drosophila*

484 indicate a potential causal role of *SLC39A8* in alcohol drinking behavior, even though
485 results should be interpreted with caution due to small sample size in our
486 experiment. The MRI brain imaging demonstrates a significant association of SNP
487 rs13107325 in the *SLC39A8* gene and putamen volume differences, and these
488 structural differences appear to partially mediate associations of rs13107325 with
489 alcohol consumption. The putamen has been associated with alcohol consumption
490 and the withdrawal syndrome after chronic administration to rodents and non-
491 human primates⁴⁶. Our mediation analysis is suggestive of a plausible causal pathway
492 linking rs13107325 in *SLC39A8* with alcohol intake via an effect on putamen volume,
493 but follow-up work is needed to conclusively demonstrate causal links. Putamen
494 volume differences have also been associated with both schizophrenia and
495 psychosis^{47,48} and robust association between SNP rs13107325 in *SLC39A8* and
496 schizophrenia was reported in a previous GWAS²³.

497 We also report SNP rs7121986 near *DRD2* as a novel alcohol intake variant in GWAS.
498 The gene product of *DRD2*, D2 dopamine receptor, is a G protein-coupled receptor
499 on post-synaptic dopaminergic neurons that has long been implicated in
500 alcoholism⁴⁹. In addition, we identify SNP rs988748 in *BDNF* as a novel alcohol intake
501 variant; *BDNF* expression is differentially affected by alcohol exposure in animal
502 models^{50,51}. Both genes (along with *PPP1R1P*) are centrally involved in reward-
503 mediating mesocortico-limbic pathways and both are implicated in the development
504 of schizophrenia. For example, there is a robust GWAS association between
505 schizophrenia and SNP rs4938021 in *DRD2* (in perfect LD with our novel alcohol
506 intake-related variant rs7121986) and *DRD2* appears to be pivotal in network
507 analyses of genes involved in schizophrenia⁵². Taken together, our results suggest
508 that there are shared genetic mechanisms between the regulation of alcohol intake
509 and susceptibility to schizophrenia, as well as other neuropsychiatric disorders. In
510 this regard, large prospective epidemiological studies report a three-fold risk of
511 schizophrenia in relation to alcohol abuse⁵³.

512 We previously reported genome-wide significant associations of alcohol intake with
513 *KLB*, and identified a liver-brain axis linking the liver hormone FGF21 with central
514 regulation of alcohol intake involving β -Klotho receptor (the gene product of *KLB*) in
515 the brain⁵. Here, we identify a significant variant near *FGF21* gene and strongly
516 replicate the previously reported *KLB* gene variant, strengthening the genetic
517 evidence for the importance of this pathway in regulating alcohol consumption.

518 The LD score regression analysis showed a positive genetic correlation between
519 alcohol consumption, smoking and HDL cholesterol levels. This confirms previous
520 findings that reported an almost identical genetic correlation of alcohol consumption
521 with number of cigarettes per day⁵⁴. Furthermore, the observed genetic correlation
522 with HDL levels is consistent with previous observations of an association between
523 alcohol consumption and HDL^{55,56}, including results of a Mendelian randomization
524 study that suggested a possible causal role linking alcohol intake with increased HDL
525 levels⁵⁷. Furthermore, we found a genetic correlation (inverse) between sleep
526 duration and alcohol consumption, an association previously reported only in a few
527 small epidemiological studies⁵⁸. We also found a significant genetic correlation with
528 schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, a result that is supported by a recently published
529 trans-ethnic meta-analysis of case-control studies on alcohol dependence⁵⁹. We
530 could not test for a genetic association between alcohol and risk of alcohol-related
531 cancers⁶⁰ because of limited availability of summary data. However, our gene-set
532 enrichment analysis showed a significant enrichment for genes related to abdominal
533 as well as other cancers.

534 Strengths of our study include its size, detailed attention to the alcohol phenotype,
535 dense coverage of the genome through imputation, and incorporation of brain and
536 other imaging data to explore potential mechanisms. Over 80% of the data came
537 from UKB, which combines high-quality phenotypic data and imputed genome-wide
538 genetic data with strict attention to quality control⁶¹. We adopted a stringent
539 approach to claim novel variants involving a conservative *P*-value threshold, internal
540 replication in UKB and consistent direction of effect with the other studies, to
541 minimize the reporting of false positive signals.

542 However, since alcohol intake is socio-culturally as well as genetically determined, it
543 is influenced by other lifestyle and environmental factors which may modify or dilute
544 the genetic signal. A key limitation is that assessment of alcohol intake relies on self-
545 report, which is prone to errors and biases including recall bias and systematic
546 under-reporting by heavy drinkers^{62,63}. Furthermore, questionnaires on alcohol
547 intake covered a short duration (e.g. day or week) at a single period, which may not
548 be representative of broader drinking patterns of cohort participants. We
549 harmonized data across cohorts by converting alcohol intake into a common metric
550 of g/d, with imputation as necessary in UKB for participants reporting consumption
551 of small amounts of alcohol. Taking this approach, we were able to detect strong
552 genetic associations with alcohol intake that explained 7% of the variance in alcohol
553 in an independent cohort, while our GRS analysis indicates that individuals in the

554 lower fifth of the GRS distribution were consuming daily approximately one third of a
555 standard drink (2.6 g/d alcohol) less compared with those in the upper fifth.

556 We should also point out that our eQTL analyses are a first step in the identification
557 of causal genes. Yet, as the most significant eQTLs affected expression of many
558 genes, not necessarily the nearest, there is a need to further prioritize potential
559 causal genes. Unbiased strategies that leverage information from multiple data sets
560 including extensive genomic annotations and high-throughput functional screening
561 in a broad range of tissues will be essential for effective prioritization of genes and
562 uncovering of underlying causal mechanisms⁶⁴. Establishing confidence in the
563 prioritized genes in such a way is a prerequisite for performing functional follow-up
564 studies in appropriate model systems, as demonstrated by the identification of the
565 causal genes and potential disease mechanisms at the obesity- associated *FTO*
566 locus⁶⁵.

567
568 In summary, in this large study of genetic associations with alcohol consumption, we
569 identified common variants in 46 novel loci, with several of the genes expressed in
570 the brain as well as other tissues. Our findings suggest that there may be shared
571 genetic mechanisms underpinning regulation of alcohol intake and development of a
572 neuropsychiatric disorders including schizophrenia. This may form the basis for
573 greater understanding of observed associations between alcohol consumption,
574 schizophrenia⁶⁶ and other disorders.

575 **METHODS**

576 577 **UK Biobank data**

578 We conducted a Genome Wide Association Study (GWAS) analysis among 458,577
579 UKB participants of European descent, identified from a combination of self-
580 reported and genetic data. The details of the selection of the participants has been
581 described elsewhere¹⁴. These comprise 408,951 individuals from UKB genotyped at
582 825,927 variants with a custom Affymetrix UK Biobank Axiom Array chip and 49,626
583 individuals genotyped at 807,411 variants with a custom Affymetrix UK BiLEVE Axiom
584 Array chip from the UK BiLEVE study, which is a subset of UKB. For our analyses, we
585 used SNPs imputed centrally by UKB using the Haplotype Reference Consortium
586 (HRC) panel.

587 588 *Alcohol intake*

589 We calculated the alcohol intake as grams of alcohol per day (g/d) based on self-
590 reported alcohol drinking from the touch-screen questionnaire. The quantity of each

type of drink (red wine, white wine, beer/cider, fortified wine, spirits) was multiplied by its standard drink size and reference alcohol content. Drink-specific intake during the reported drinking period (a week for frequent drinkers defined as: daily or almost daily/once or twice a week/three or four times a week; or a month for occasional drinkers defined as: one to three times a month/special occasions only) was summed up and converted to g/d alcohol intake for all participants with complete response to the quantitative drinking questions. The alcohol intake for participants with incomplete response was imputed by bootstrap resampling from the complete responses, stratified by drinking frequency (occasional or frequent) and sex.

Participants were defined as life-time non-drinkers if they reported 'never' on the question on alcohol drinking frequency (UKB field 1558) and 'no' for the question on former drinker (UKB field 3731); they were excluded from further analysis. We considered participants with alcohol consumption > 500 g/d as outliers and they were dropped from the analyses. We also excluded participants with missing covariates, leaving data on 404,732 individuals. We \log_{10} transformed g/d alcohol and sex-specific residuals were derived from the regression of \log_{10} transformed g/d alcohol on age, age², genotyping chip and weight.

UKB genetic analysis

We performed linear mixed modeling using BOLT-LMM software⁶⁷, under an additive genetic model, for associations of measured and imputed SNPs with alcohol consumption (sex-specific residuals of the \log_{10} transformed g/d variable). Model building was based on SNPs with MAF > 5%, call rate > 98.5% and HWE $P > 1 \times 10^{-6}$. SNPs were imputed using the HRC panel with imputation quality INFO score > 0.1. We estimated the LD score regression (LDSR) intercept to assess the degree of genomic inflation beyond polygenicity as well as the lambda inflation factor λ_{GC} ⁶⁸.

The Alcohol Genome-Wide Consortium (AlcGen) and the Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology Plus (CHARGE+) consortia

We analyzed available GWAS data from 25 independent studies (N=76,111) from the AlcGen and the CHARGE+ consortia. All study participants were of reported European ancestry and data were imputed to either the 1000 Genome Project or the HRC panel. Alcohol intake in g/d was computed and the \log_{10} transformed residuals were analyzed as described above. Study names, cohort information and general study methods are included in **Supplementary Table 2 and 3**.

All studies were centrally quality-controlled using easyQC⁶⁹ including filtering for MAF. Finally, we analyzed data on ~7.1 M SNPs at MAF >1% and imputation quality score (Impute [Info score] or Mach [r^2]) > 0.3. Genomic control (GC) was applied at study level. We synthesized the available GWAS using a fixed effects inverse variance weighted meta-analysis and summary estimates were derived for AlcGen and CHARGE+.

One-stage meta-analysis

We performed a one-stage meta-analysis applying a fixed-effects inverse variance weighted meta-analysis using METAL⁷⁰ to obtain summary results from the UKB and the AlcGen plus CHARGE+ GWAS, for up to N=480,842 participants and ~7.1 M SNPs with MAF $\geq 1\%$ for variants present in both the UKB data and AlcGen and CHARGE+ meta-analysis. We assessed the observed heterogeneity using Cochran's Q and we quantified this using the I^2 metric. We considered a Cochran's Q $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ as significant. The LDSR intercept (standard error), in the discovery meta-analysis was 1.05 and no further correction was applied. QQ plots of the combined meta-analysis summary results, UK Biobank only as well as AlcGen and CHARGE+ only, are presented in **Supplementary Figure 8**.

Previously reported (known) SNPs

We looked up in the GWAS catalog (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/>) and identified 17 SNPs associated with alcohol consumption at genome-wide significance level ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). We enhanced the list by reference to a recent GWAS by Clarke et al⁶ that was not covered by the GWAS catalog at the time of the analysis, reporting 14 additional rare and common SNPs. Together with a SNP in *RASGRF2* shown to be associated with alcohol-induced reinforcement⁷¹, we found 31 previously reported alcohol consumption related SNPs.

Novel loci

According to locus definition of i) SNPs within ± 500 kb distance of each other; ii) SNPs in linkage disequilibrium LD ($r^2 > 0.1$) calculated with PLINK, we augmented the list of known SNPs with all SNPs present within our data, not contained within the previously published loci. We further excluded SNPs in the HLA region (chromosome 6, 25-34Mb) due to its complex LD structure. We performed LD clumping in PLINK on 4,515 unknown SNPs with $P < 1 \times 10^{-8}$ using an $r^2 > 0.1$ and distance threshold of 500kb. We further grouped the lead SNPs within 500kb from each other into the same loci and selected the SNP with smallest P -value from the locus as sentinel SNP. To report a SNP as novel signal of association with alcohol consumption:

- 664 i) the sentinel SNP has $P < 5 \times 10^{-9}$ in the one-stage meta-analysis;
- 665 ii) the sentinel SNP is strongly associated ($P < 5 \times 10^{-7}$) in the UKB GWAS
- 666 alone;
- 667 iii) the sentinel SNP has concordant direction of effect between UKB and
- 668 AlcGen and CHARGE+ datasets;
- 669 iv) The sentinel SNP is not located within any of the previously reported loci

670 We selected the above criteria i) to iii) to minimize false positive findings including
671 use of a conservative one-stage P -value threshold that is an order of magnitude
672 more stringent than a genome-wide significance P -value. (The threshold of $P < 5 \times$
673 10^{-9} has been proposed e.g. for whole-genome sequencing-based studies.) This
674 approach led us to the identification of 46 sentinel SNPs in total. Regional plots for
675 all 46 sentinel SNPs are presented in **Supplementary Figure 9**.

676 **Conditional analysis**

677 We conducted locus-specific conditional analysis using the GCTA (Genome-wide
678 Complex Trait Analysis) software (<http://cnsgenomics.com/software/gcta>). For each
679 of the 46 novel sentinel SNPs, we obtained conditional analysis results for the SNPs
680 with MAF>1% and within 500kb from the sentinel SNP after conditioning on the
681 sentinel SNP. The meta-analysis results of the GWAS in UKB, AlcGen and CHARGE+
682 were used as input summary statistics and the individual-level genetic data from UKB
683 were used as the reference sample. Results for a SNP were considered conditionally
684 significant if the difference between the conditional P -value and the original P -value
685 is greater than 1.5-fold ($-\log_{10}P/-\log_{10}(P_{\text{conditional}}) > 1.5$) and the conditional P -
686 value is smaller than 5×10^{-8} .

687 **Gene-based analysis**

688 We performed a gene-based analysis using fastBAT, a method that performs a set-
689 based association analysis using summary-level data from GWAS. We used the UKB
690 dataset as a reference set for the LD calculation⁷². Gene-based associations with $P <$
691 5×10^{-9} were considered significant.

692 **Gene expression analyses**

693 To analyze the impact of genetic variants on expression of neighboring genes and
694 identify expression quantitative trait loci (*cis*-eQTLs; i.e., SNPs associated with
695 differences in local gene expression), we used two publicly available databases, the
696 Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) database⁷³ (www.gtexportal.org) and the UK
697 Brain Expression Consortium (UKBEC) dataset⁷⁴ (<http://www.braineac.org>). We

701 searched these databases for significant variant-transcripts pairs for genes within
702 1Mb of each input SNP.

703 With the GTEx database, we tested for *cis*-eQTL effects in 48 tissues from 620
704 donors. The data described herein were obtained from the GTEx Portal, Release: V7
705 and used FastQTL⁷⁵, to map SNPs to gene-level expression data and calculate q-
706 values based on beta distribution-adjusted empirical *P*-values⁷⁶. A false discovery
707 rate (FDR) threshold of ≤ 0.05 was applied to identify genes with a significant eQTL.
708 The effect size, defined as the slope of the linear regression, was computed in a
709 normalized space (normalized effect size (NES)), where magnitude has no direct
710 biological interpretation. Here, NES reflects the effects of our GWAS A1 alleles (that
711 are not necessarily the alternative alleles relative to the reference alleles, as
712 reported in the GTEx database). **Supplementary Table 13** lists transcripts-SNPs
713 associations with significant eQTL effects.

714 With the UKBEC dataset that comprises 134 brains (<http://www.braineac.org/>), we
715 searched for *cis*-eQTLs in 10 brain regions, including the cerebellar cortex (CRBL),
716 frontal cortex (FCTX), hippocampus (HIPPI), medulla (specifically inferior olivary
717 nucleus, MEDU), occipital cortex (specifically primary visual cortex, OCTX), putamen
718 (PUTM), substantia nigra (SNIG), thalamus (THAL), temporal cortex (TCTX) and
719 intralobular white matter (WHMT), as well as across all brain tissues (aveALL).
720 MatrixEQTL⁷⁷ generated *P*-values for each expression profile (either exon-level or
721 gene-level) against the respective SNP were obtained for the 10 different tissues and
722 overall (aveALL). **Supplementary Table 14** lists transcripts-SNPs associations with a
723 eQTL *P*-value < 0.0045 in at least one brain tissue. Subsequent data analysis was
724 performed in R (<http://www.R-project.org/>).

725 We carried out over-representation enrichment analysis using a list of 146 GTEx
726 eQTL genes that were derived from the single-variant analysis and a list of 160 eQTL
727 genes that were derived from both single-variant and gene-based analysis. Ingenuity
728 pathway analysis (IPA®, QIAGEN Inc.) was performed on these lists using ontology
729 annotations from all available databases except those derived from low-confidence
730 computational predictions.

731 **Magnetic Resonance Imaging Data**

732 We used the most recent release of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data on
733 brain, heart and liver for UKB participants to investigate genetic associations with the
734 46 novel SNPs for alcohol consumption.

735

736 **Brain imaging**

737

738 *Brain MRI acquisition and pre-processing*

739 We used the T1 data from UKB to elucidate volumetric brain structures, including the
740 cortical and the sub-cortical areas. The T1 data were acquired and pre-processed
741 centrally by UKB. The brain regions were defined by combining the Harvard-Oxford
742 cortical and subcortical atlases⁷⁸ (<https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/Atlases>) and
743 the Diedrichsen cerebellar atlas⁷⁹
744 (<http://www.diedrichsenlab.org/imaging/propatlas.htm>). FAST (FMRIB's Automated
745 Segmentation Tool)⁸⁰ was then used to estimate the grey matter partial volume
746 within each brain region. Subcortical region volumes were also modelled by using
747 FIRST (FMRIB's Integrated Registration and Segmentation Tool). More details about
748 the MRI scanning protocol and pre-processing has been provided in UKB
749 documentation (https://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/docs/brain_mri.pdf).

750 *Association Analyses*

751 We performed association analyses on N = 9,702 individuals between all novel SNPs
752 and the grey matter volume of brain regions using Pearson correlation, adjusting for
753 age, age², sex, age × sex, age² × sex, and head size. All, brain volume features, log
754 transformed alcohol intake data (g/d), and the confounders were firstly transformed
755 by using a rank-based inverse Gaussian transformation. Significance levels were set
756 at $P < 0.05$ adjusted using the false-discovery rate method for multiple comparisons.

757

758 *Mediation analysis*

759 To assess if the effect of a SNP on alcohol consumption is mediated through a brain
760 region, we performed a single-level mediation analysis based on a standard three-
761 variable path model (SNP-brain region-alcohol consumption) with corrected and
762 accelerated percentile bootstrapping 10,000 times to calculate the significance of
763 the mediation effect. We considered as mediator variable the grey matter volume of
764 brain regions that had a significant association on alcohol consumption. We
765 calculated the significance of path a, path b and a*b mediation (SNP-brain region-
766 alcohol consumption) using a multilevel mediation and moderation (M3) toolbox^{81,82}.
767 To exclude the possibility of an inverse causal pathway we performed additional
768 analyses in UKB non-drinkers (N =589). performing 10,000 random permutations,
769 associations of rs13107325 with both left and right putamen.

770

771 **Cardiac Imaging**

772

773 *Cardiac MRI acquisition and pre-processing*

774 Details of the cardiac image acquisition in UKB are reported previously⁸³. Cardiac
775 MRI was acquired using a clinical wide bore 1.5T scanner (MAGNETOM Aera, Syngo
776 Platform VD13A, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) with 48 receiver channels,
777 a 45 mT/m and 200 T/m/s gradient system, an 18-channel anterior body surface coil
778 used in combination with 12 elements of an integrated 32 element spine coil and
779 electrocardiogram gating for cardiac synchronization. A two-dimensional short-axis
780 cardiac MRI was obtained using a balanced steady state free precession to cover the
781 entire left and right ventricle (echo time, 1.10msec; repetition time, 2.6msec; flip
782 angle, 80°; slice thickness, 8mm with 2mm gap; typical field of view, 380×252mm;
783 matrix size, 208×187, acquisition of 1 slice per breath-hold).

784 The cardiac images were segmented to provide left ventricular mass (LVM), left end-
785 diastolic (LVEDV), left end-systolic volume (LVESV), and right end-diastolic (RVEDV)
786 and right end-systolic volume (RVESV) using a fully convolutional network as
787 described previously⁸⁴. Left (LVEF) and right ventricular ejection fraction (RVEF) were
788 derived from $(LVEDV-LVESV)/LVEDV \times 100$ and $(RVEDV-RVESV)/RVEDV \times 100$,
789 respectively.

790 *Association Analyses*

791 To test associations between cardiac MRI measures and alcohol consumption-
792 related SNPs, we carried out a regression of LVM, LVEDV, LVEF, RVEDV, and RVEF
793 onto each of the 46 SNPs adjusting for age, sex, height, weight, hypertension
794 (defined as systolic blood pressure >140mmHg and or diastolic blood pressure
795 >90mmHg or under antihypertensive treatment), diabetes, and smoking history on
796 N=10,706 participants. Significance levels were set at $P < 0.05$ adjusted using the
797 false-discovery rate method for multiple comparisons.

798

799 **Liver Imaging**

800 *Liver MRI acquisition and pre-processing*

801 Details of the liver image acquisition protocol have been reported previously⁸⁵.
802 Briefly, all participants were scanned in a Siemens MAGNETOM Aera 1.5-T MRI
803 scanner (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany) using a 6-minute dual-echo
804 Dixon Vibe protocol, providing a water and fat separated volumetric data set for fat
805 and muscle covering neck to knees. For liver proton density fat fraction (PDFF)
806 quantification, an additional single multi-echo gradient slice was acquired over the
807 liver. Liver images were analysed by computing specific ROI for water, fat and T2* by

magnitude-based chemical shift technique with a 6-peak lipid model, correcting for T1 and T2*.

Association Analyses

We performed association analyses between 46 alcohol consumption-related SNPs and liver PDFF (%), from 8,479 samples, using a linear regression model adjusting for age, age², sex, T2D, BMI, genotyping chip and first three PCs. Liver PDFF was firstly transformed by using a rank-based inverse transformation. Significance levels were set at $P < 0.05$ adjusted using the false-discovery rate method for multiple comparisons.

Drosophila experiments

Flies were kept on standard cornmeal/molasses fly food in a 12:12hr light:dark cycle at 25°C. Transgenic flies were obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center: *UAS-hZip8* BL#66125, *UAS-dZip71B-TRiP-RNAi*^{HMC04064} BL#55376, *dZip71B*^{MI13940} BL#59234, and *dZip71B*^{MB11703} BL#29928. For behavioral experiments, crosses were set up such that experimental and control flies were sibling progeny from a cross, and both were therefore in the same hybrid genetic background (w *Berlin* / *unknown*). Flies aged 1-5 days of adult age were collected, exposed to 100/50 (flowrates) ethanol/air vapor in the Booze-o-Mat 2 days later, and their loss of righting determined by slight tapping, as described⁸⁶. For tolerance, flies were put back onto regular food after a 30-min initial exposure and were then re-exposed to the same vapor 4 hours later. Note that tolerance is not connected to initial sensitivity, and flies naively sensitive to ethanol-induced sedation can have no, or a reduced tolerance phenotype. Flies overexpressing *hZip8* (and their sibling controls) were placed at 28°C for two days to increase the expression levels of the transgene, as we did not detect a phenotype when they were kept at 25°C (data not shown). Data from experimental and control flies were compared by two-sided Student's t-tests. Data were normally distributed according to Shapiro-Wilk testing with Bonferroni adjustment for each of the three experiments.

Effects on other traits and diseases

We queried SNPs against GWAS results included in PhenoScanner (<http://www.phenoscanner.medschl.cam.ac.uk>), to investigate cross-trait effects, extracting all association results with genome-wide significance at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ for all SNPs in high LD ($r^2 \geq 0.8$) with the 46 sentinel novel SNPs, to highlight the loci with strongest evidence of association with other traits. At the gene level,

846 overrepresentation enrichment analysis (ORA) with WebGestalt⁴¹ on the nearest
847 genes to all alcohol consumption loci was carried out.

848 The genetic correlations between alcohol consumption and 235 other traits and
849 diseases were obtained in the online software LD Hub. LD hub is a centralized
850 database of summary-level GWAS results and a web interface for LD score regression
851 analysis

852 To estimate the potential causal effect of alcohol consumption-related variants on
853 schizophrenia, we performed a Mendelian randomization analysis utilizing publicly
854 available GWAS data on schizophrenia and the Mendelian randomization package in
855 R. The effect was estimated using the inverse-variance weighted (IVM) method.
856 Pleiotropy was tested by applying the MR-Egger regression method and
857 heterogeneity statistics were obtained. In presence of heterogeneity the random
858 effects inverse-variance method was applied⁸⁷.

859 **Genetic risk scores and percentage of variance explained**

860 We calculated an unbiased weighted GRS in 14,004 unrelated participants in
861 Airwave, an independent cohort with high quality HRC imputed genetic data³³. All
862 previously reported and novel variants were used for the construction of the GRS.
863 We weighted the alcohol-increasing alleles by the beta coefficients of the meta-
864 analysis. We assessed the association of the GRS with alcohol intake and calculated
865 the alcohol consumption levels for individuals in the top vs the bottom quintiles of
866 the distribution. To calculate the percent of variance of alcohol consumption
867 explained by genetic variants, we generated the residuals from a regression of
868 alcohol consumption in Airwave. We then fit a second linear model for the trait
869 residuals with all novel and known variants plus the top 10 principal components and
870 estimated the percentage variance of the dependent variable explained by the
871 variants.

872 **Statistical analysis**

873 All inferential statistics for the analyses described above are provided in the text or
874 in tables and figures. All performed tests were two-sided.

875 **Data availability statement**

876 The UKB GWAS data can be assessed from the UK Biobank data repository
877 (<http://biota.osc.ox.ac.uk/>). The genetic and phenotypic UKB data are available upon
878 application to the UK Biobank (<https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk>). Summary GWAS data

data can be assessed by request to the corresponding authors and will be available via LDHub (<http://ldsc.broadinstitute.org/ldhub/>).

References

1. GBD 2016 Alcohol Collaborators. Alcohol use and burden for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet* **5**, 987-1012 (2018).
2. World Health Organization. Global status report on alcohol and health 2018. Eds: Poznyak V and Rekve D, https://www.who.int/substance_abuse/publications/global_alcohol_report/gsr_2018/en/ (2018).
3. Wood, A.M. *et al.* Risk thresholds for alcohol consumption: combined analysis of individual-participant data for 599 912 current drinkers in 83 prospective studies. *Lancet* **391**, 1513-1523 (2018).
4. Verhulst, B., Neale, M.C. & Kendler, K.S. The heritability of alcohol use disorders: a meta-analysis of twin and adoption studies. *Psychol Med* **45**, 1061-72 (2015).
5. Schumann, G. *et al.* KLB is associated with alcohol drinking, and its gene product beta-Klotho is necessary for FGF21 regulation of alcohol preference. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 14372-14377 (2016).
6. Clarke, T.K. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of alcohol consumption and genetic overlap with other health-related traits in UK Biobank (N=112 117). *Mol Psychiatry* **22**, 1376-1384 (2017).
7. Jorgenson, E. *et al.* Genetic contributors to variation in alcohol consumption vary by race/ethnicity in a large multi-ethnic genome-wide association study. *Mol Psychiatry* **22**, 1359-1367 (2017).
8. Baik, I., Cho, N.H., Kim, S.H., Han, B.G. & Shin, C. Genome-wide association studies identify genetic loci related to alcohol consumption in Korean men. *Am J Clin Nutr* **93**, 809-16 (2011).
9. Jackson, B. *et al.* Update on the aldehyde dehydrogenase gene (ALDH) superfamily. *Hum Genomics* **5**, 283-303 (2011).
10. Sudlow, C. *et al.* UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med* **12**, e1001779 (2015).
11. McCarthy, S. *et al.* A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1279-83 (2016).
12. Bulik-Sullivan, B.K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* **47**, 291-5 (2015).
13. Evangelou, E. & Ioannidis, J.P. Meta-analysis methods for genome-wide association studies and beyond. *Nat Rev Genet* **14**, 379-89 (2013).

- 920 14. Evangelou, E. *et al.* Genetic analysis of over 1 million people identifies 535
921 new loci associated with blood pressure traits. *Nat Genet* **50**, 1412-1425
922 (2018).
- 923 15. Desikan, R.S. *et al.* Genetic overlap between Alzheimer's disease and
924 Parkinson's disease at the MAPT locus. *Mol Psychiatry* **20**, 1588-95 (2015).
- 925 16. Do, C.B. *et al.* Web-based genome-wide association study identifies two novel
926 loci and a substantial genetic component for Parkinson's disease. *PLoS Genet*
927 **7**, e1002141 (2011).
- 928 17. Pankratz, N. *et al.* Meta-analysis of Parkinson's disease: identification of a
929 novel locus, RIT2. *Ann Neurol* **71**, 370-84 (2012).
- 930 18. Okbay, A. *et al.* Genetic variants associated with subjective well-being,
931 depressive symptoms, and neuroticism identified through genome-wide
932 analyses. *Nat Genet* **48**, 624-33 (2016).
- 933 19. Couch, F.J. *et al.* Genome-wide association study in BRCA1 mutation carriers
934 identifies novel loci associated with breast and ovarian cancer risk. *PLoS*
935 *Genet* **9**, e1003212 (2013).
- 936 20. Ikram, M.A. *et al.* Common variants at 6q22 and 17q21 are associated with
937 intracranial volume. *Nat Genet* **44**, 539-44 (2012).
- 938 21. van der Harst, P. *et al.* Seventy-five genetic loci influencing the human red
939 blood cell. *Nature* **492**, 369-75 (2012).
- 940 22. Samuel, A. *et al.* Six3 regulates optic nerve development via multiple
941 mechanisms. *Sci Rep* **6**, 20267 (2016).
- 942 23. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics, C. Biological
943 insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* **511**, 421-7
944 (2014).
- 945 24. Liu, J.Z. *et al.* Association analyses identify 38 susceptibility loci for
946 inflammatory bowel disease and highlight shared genetic risk across
947 populations. *Nat Genet* **47**, 979-986 (2015).
- 948 25. International Consortium for Blood Pressure Genome-Wide Association
949 Studies *et al.* Genetic variants in novel pathways influence blood pressure
950 and cardiovascular disease risk. *Nature* **478**, 103-9 (2011).
- 951 26. Speliotes, E.K. *et al.* Association analyses of 249,796 individuals reveal 18 new
952 loci associated with body mass index. *Nat Genet* **42**, 937-48 (2010).
- 953 27. Teslovich, T.M. *et al.* Biological, clinical and population relevance of 95 loci
954 for blood lipids. *Nature* **466**, 707-13 (2010).
- 955 28. Lim, C.S. & Alkon, D.L. Protein kinase C stimulates HuD-mediated mRNA
956 stability and protein expression of neurotrophic factors and enhances
957 dendritic maturation of hippocampal neurons in culture. *Hippocampus* **22**,
958 2303-19 (2012).
- 959 29. Barker, J.M., Taylor, J.R., De Vries, T.J. & Peters, J. Brain-derived neurotrophic
960 factor and addiction: Pathological versus therapeutic effects on drug seeking.
961 *Brain Res* **1628**, 68-81 (2015).

- 962 30. Tanaka, T. *et al.* Genome-wide meta-analysis of observational studies shows
963 common genetic variants associated with macronutrient intake. *Am J Clin*
964 *Nutr* **97**, 1395-402 (2013).
- 965 31. Talukdar, S. *et al.* FGF21 Regulates Sweet and Alcohol Preference. *Cell Metab*
966 **23**, 344-9 (2016).
- 967 32. Grant, S.F. *et al.* Association analysis of the FTO gene with obesity in children
968 of Caucasian and African ancestry reveals a common tagging SNP. *PLoS One* **3**,
969 e1746 (2008).
- 970 33. Elliott, P. *et al.* The Airwave Health Monitoring Study of police officers and
971 staff in Great Britain: rationale, design and methods. *Environ Res* **134**, 280-5
972 (2014).
- 973 34. Elliott, L.T. *et al.* Genome-wide association studies of brain imaging
974 phenotypes in UK Biobank. *Nature* **562**, 210-216 (2018).
- 975 35. Stipanovich, A. *et al.* A phosphatase cascade by which rewarding stimuli
976 control nucleosomal response. *Nature* **453**, 879-84 (2008).
- 977 36. Yang, B.Z. *et al.* Association of haplotypic variants in DRD2, ANKK1, TTC12 and
978 NCAM1 to alcohol dependence in independent case control and family
979 samples. *Hum Mol Genet* **16**, 2844-53 (2007).
- 980 37. Gelernter, J. *et al.* Haplotype spanning TTC12 and ANKK1, flanked by the
981 DRD2 and NCAM1 loci, is strongly associated to nicotine dependence in two
982 distinct American populations. *Hum Mol Genet* **15**, 3498-507 (2006).
- 983 38. Treutlein, J. *et al.* Genetic association of the human corticotropin releasing
984 hormone receptor 1 (CRHR1) with binge drinking and alcohol intake patterns
985 in two independent samples. *Mol Psychiatry* **11**, 594-602 (2006).
- 986 39. Timpl, P. *et al.* Impaired stress response and reduced anxiety in mice lacking a
987 functional corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor 1. *Nat Genet* **19**, 162-6
988 (1998).
- 989 40. Ruggeri, B. *et al.* Association of Protein Phosphatase PPM1G With Alcohol
990 Use Disorder and Brain Activity During Behavioral Control in a Genome-Wide
991 Methylation Analysis. *Am J Psychiatry* **172**, 543-52 (2015).
- 992 41. Wang, J., Vasaikar, S., Shi, Z., Greer, M. & Zhang, B. WebGestalt 2017: a more
993 comprehensive, powerful, flexible and interactive gene set enrichment
994 analysis toolkit. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, W130-W137 (2017).
- 995 42. Gonzalez, D.A. *et al.* The Arf6 activator Efa6/PSD3 confers regional specificity
996 and modulates ethanol consumption in Drosophila and humans. *Mol*
997 *Psychiatry* **23**, 621-628 (2018).
- 998 43. Ojelade, S.A. *et al.* Rsu1 regulates ethanol consumption in Drosophila and
999 humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, E4085-93 (2015).
- 1000 44. Rademakers, R., Cruts, M. & van Broeckhoven, C. The role of tau (MAPT) in
1001 frontotemporal dementia and related tauopathies. *Hum Mutat* **24**, 277-95
1002 (2004).
- 1003 45. Higashi, Y. *et al.* Influence of extracellular zinc on M1 microglial activation. *Sci*
1004 *Rep* **7**, 43778 (2017).

- 1005 46. Chen, G. *et al.* Striatal involvement in human alcoholism and alcohol
1006 consumption, and withdrawal in animal models. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* **35**,
1007 1739-48 (2011).
- 1008 47. Okada, N. *et al.* Abnormal asymmetries in subcortical brain volume in
1009 schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* **21**, 1460-6 (2016).
- 1010 48. van Erp, T.G. *et al.* Subcortical brain volume abnormalities in 2028 individuals
1011 with schizophrenia and 2540 healthy controls via the ENIGMA consortium.
1012 *Mol Psychiatry* **21**, 547-53 (2016).
- 1013 49. Meyers, J.L. *et al.* The association between DRD2/ANKK1 and genetically
1014 informed measures of alcohol use and problems. *Addict Biol* **18**, 523-36
1015 (2013).
- 1016 50. Logrip, M.L., Barak, S., Warnault, V. & Ron, D. Corticostriatal BDNF and
1017 alcohol addiction. *Brain Res* **1628**, 60-7 (2015).
- 1018 51. Boschen, K.E., Criss, K.J., Palamarchouk, V., Roth, T.L. & Klintsova, A.Y. Effects
1019 of developmental alcohol exposure vs. intubation stress on BDNF and TrkB
1020 expression in the hippocampus and frontal cortex of neonatal rats. *Int J Dev*
1021 *Neurosci* **43**, 16-24 (2015).
- 1022 52. Monaco, A. *et al.* A complex network approach reveals a pivotal substructure
1023 of genes linked to schizophrenia. *PLoS One* **13**, e0190110 (2018).
- 1024 53. Nielsen, S.M., Toftdahl, N.G., Nordentoft, M. & Hjorthoj, C. Association
1025 between alcohol, cannabis, and other illicit substance abuse and risk of
1026 developing schizophrenia: a nationwide population based register study.
1027 *Psychol Med* **47**, 1668-1677 (2017).
- 1028 54. Nivard, M.G. *et al.* Connecting the dots, genome-wide association studies in
1029 substance use. *Mol Psychiatry* **21**, 733-5 (2016).
- 1030 55. Gaziano, J.M. *et al.* Moderate alcohol intake, increased levels of high-density
1031 lipoprotein and its subfractions, and decreased risk of myocardial infarction.
1032 *N Engl J Med* **329**, 1829-34 (1993).
- 1033 56. Linn, S. *et al.* High-density lipoprotein cholesterol and alcohol consumption in
1034 US white and black adults: data from NHANES II. *Am J Public Health* **83**, 811-6
1035 (1993).
- 1036 57. Vu, K.N. *et al.* Causal Role of Alcohol Consumption in an Improved Lipid
1037 Profile: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *PLoS One* **11**,
1038 e0148765 (2016).
- 1039 58. Chaput, J.P., McNeil, J., Despres, J.P., Bouchard, C. & Tremblay, A. Short sleep
1040 duration is associated with greater alcohol consumption in adults. *Appetite*
1041 **59**, 650-5 (2012).
- 1042 59. Walters, R.K. *et al.* Transancestral GWAS of alcohol dependence reveals
1043 common genetic underpinnings with psychiatric disorders. *Nat Neurosci* **21**,
1044 1656-1669 (2018).
- 1045 60. Bagnardi, V. *et al.* Alcohol consumption and site-specific cancer risk: a
1046 comprehensive dose-response meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer* **112**, 580-93 (2015).

- 1047 61. Bycroft, C. *et al.* The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and
1048 genomic data. *Nature* **562**, 203-209 (2018).
- 1049 62. Boniface, S., Kneale, J. & Shelton, N. Drinking pattern is more strongly
1050 associated with under-reporting of alcohol consumption than socio-
1051 demographic factors: evidence from a mixed-methods study. *BMC Public*
1052 *Health* **14**, 1297 (2014).
- 1053 63. Greenfield, T.K. & Kerr, W.C. Alcohol measurement methodology in
1054 epidemiology: recent advances and opportunities. *Addiction* **103**, 1082-99
1055 (2008).
- 1056 64. Grotz, A.K., Gloyn, A.L. & Thomsen, S.K. Prioritising Causal Genes at Type 2
1057 Diabetes Risk Loci. *Curr Diab Rep* **17**, 76 (2017).
- 1058 65. Claussnitzer, M. *et al.* FTO Obesity Variant Circuitry and Adipocyte Browning
1059 in Humans. *N Engl J Med* **373**, 895-907 (2015)
- 1060 66. Hambrecht, M. & Hafner, H. Substance abuse and the onset of schizophrenia.
1061 *Biol Psychiatry* **40**, 1155-63 (1996).
- 1062 67. Loh, P.R. *et al.* Efficient Bayesian mixed-model analysis increases association
1063 power in large cohorts. *Nat Genet* **47**, 284-90 (2015).
- 1064 68. Georgiopoulos, G. & Evangelou, E. Power considerations for lambda inflation
1065 factor in meta-analyses of genome-wide association studies. *Genet Res*
1066 *(Camb)* **98**, e9 (2016).
- 1067 69. Winkler, T.W. *et al.* Quality control and conduct of genome-wide association
1068 meta-analyses. *Nat Protoc* **9**, 1192-212 (2014).
- 1069 70. Willer, C.J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G.R. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of
1070 genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2190-1 (2010).
- 1071 71. Stacey, D. *et al.* RASGRF2 regulates alcohol-induced reinforcement by
1072 influencing mesolimbic dopamine neuron activity and dopamine release. *Proc*
1073 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 21128-33 (2012).
- 1074 72. Bakshi, A. *et al.* Fast set-based association analysis using summary data from
1075 GWAS identifies novel gene loci for human complex traits. *Sci Rep* **6**, 32894
1076 (2016).
- 1077 73. GTEx Consortium. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nat Genet*
1078 **45**, 580-5 (2013).
- 1079 74. Ramasamy, A. *et al.* Genetic variability in the regulation of gene expression in
1080 ten regions of the human brain. *Nat Neurosci* **17**, 1418-1428 (2014).
- 1081 75. Ongen, H., Buil, A., Brown, A.A., Dermitzakis, E.T. & Delaneau, O. Fast and
1082 efficient QTL mapper for thousands of molecular phenotypes. *Bioinformatics*
1083 **32**, 1479-85 (2016).
- 1084 76. Storey, J.D. & Tibshirani, R. Statistical significance for genomewide studies.
1085 *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**, 9440-5 (2003).
- 1086 77. Shabalín, A.A. Matrix eQTL: ultra fast eQTL analysis via large matrix
1087 operations. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1353-8 (2012).

- 1088 78. Brown, C.A. *et al.* Development, validation and application of a new fornix
1089 template for studies of aging and preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage*
1090 *Clin* **13**, 106-115 (2017).
- 1091 79. Diedrichsen, J. *et al.* Imaging the deep cerebellar nuclei: a probabilistic atlas
1092 and normalization procedure. *Neuroimage* **54**, 1786-94 (2011).
- 1093 80. Zhang, Y., Brady, M. & Smith, S. Segmentation of brain MR images through a
1094 hidden Markov random field model and the expectation-maximization
1095 algorithm. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* **20**, 45-57 (2001).
- 1096 81. Wager, T.D., Davidson, M.L., Hughes, B.L., Lindquist, M.A. & Ochsner, K.N.
1097 Prefrontal-subcortical pathways mediating successful emotion regulation.
1098 *Neuron* **59**, 1037-50 (2008).
- 1099 82. Wager, T.D. *et al.* Brain mediators of cardiovascular responses to social
1100 threat: part I: Reciprocal dorsal and ventral sub-regions of the medial
1101 prefrontal cortex and heart-rate reactivity. *Neuroimage* **47**, 821-35 (2009).
- 1102 83. Petersen, S.E. *et al.* UK Biobank's cardiovascular magnetic resonance
1103 protocol. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* **18**, 8 (2016).
- 1104 84. Bai, W. *et al.* Automated cardiovascular magnetic resonance image analysis
1105 with fully convolutional networks. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* **20**, 65 (2018).
- 1106 85. Linge, J. *et al.* Body Composition Profiling in the UK Biobank Imaging Study.
1107 *Obesity (Silver Spring)* (2018).
- 1108 86. Peru, Y.C.d.P.R.L. *et al.* Adult neuronal Arf6 controls ethanol-induced
1109 behavior with Arfaptin downstream of Rac1 and RhoGAP18B. *J Neurosci* **32**,
1110 17706-13 (2012).
- 1111 87. Dimou, N.L. & Tsilidis, K.K. A Primer in Mendelian Randomization
1112 Methodology with a Focus on Utilizing Published Summary Association Data.
1113 *Methods Mol Biol* **1793**, 211-230 (2018).
- 1114
- 1115

Acknowledgements

1116 H.G. was funded by the NIHR Imperial College Health Care NHS Trust and Imperial
1117 College London Biomedical Research Centre. I.K. was supported by the EU
1118 PhenoMeNaI project (Horizon 2020, 654241) and the UK Dementia Research
1119 Institute, which is supported by the MRC, the Alzheimer's Society and Alzheimer's
1120 Research UK. S.Thériault was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health
1121 Research and Université Laval (Quebec City, Canada). L.R. was supported by
1122 Forschungs- und Förder-Stiftung INOVA, Vaduz, Liechtenstein. D.C. holds a McMaster
1123 University Department of Medicine Mid-Career Research Award. M.B. is supported
1124 by NIH grant R01-DK062370. P.v.d.H. was supported by ICIN-NHI and Marie
1125 Skłodowska-Curie GF (call: H2020-MSCA-IF-2014, Project ID: 661395). C.H. was
1126 supported by a core MRC grant to the MRCHGU QTL in Health and Disease research
1127 programme. N.V. was supported by Marie Skłodowska-Curie GF grant (661395) and
1128 ICIN-NHI. P.E. acknowledges support from the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at

1129 Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust and Imperial College London, the NIHR Health
1130 Protection Research Unit in Health Impact of Environmental Hazards (HPRU-2012-
1131 10141), the Medical Research Council (MRC) and Public Health England (PHE) Centre
1132 for Environment and Health (MR/L01341X/1) and Health Data Research (HDR) UK.
1133 P.E. is a UK Dementia Research Institute (DRI) professor, UK DRI at Imperial College
1134 London, funded by the MRC, Alzheimer's Society and Alzheimer's Research UK. This
1135 work received support from the following sources: the European Union-funded FP6
1136 Integrated Project IMAGEN (Reinforcement-related behaviour in normal brain
1137 function and psychopathology) (LSHM-CT- 2007-037286), the Horizon 2020 funded
1138 ERC Advanced Grant 'STRATIFY' (Brain network based stratification of reinforcement-
1139 related disorders) (695313), ERANID (Understanding the Interplay between Cultural,
1140 Biological and Subjective Factors in Drug Use Pathways) (PR-ST-0416-10004),
1141 BRIDGET (JPND: BRain Imaging, cognition Dementia and next generation GENomics)
1142 (MR/N027558/1), the FP7 projects IMAGEMEND(602450; IMAGING GENetics for
1143 MENTAL Disorders) and MATRICS (603016), the Innovative Medicine Initiative Project
1144 EU-AIMS (115300-2), the Medical Research Council Grant 'c-VEDA' (Consortium on
1145 Vulnerability to Externalizing Disorders and Addictions) (MR/N000390/1), the
1146 Swedish Research Council FORMAS, the Medical Research Council, the National
1147 Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London
1148 and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, the
1149 Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF grants 01GS08152; 01EV0711;
1150 eMED SysAlc01ZX1311A; Forschungsnetz AERIAL 01EE1406A, 01EE1406B), the
1151 Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG grants SM 80/7-2, SFB 940/2), the Medical
1152 Research Foundation and Medical research council (grant MR/R00465X/1), the
1153 Human Brain Project (HBP SGA 2). Further support was provided by grants from: ANR
1154 (project AF12-NEUR0008-01 - WM2NA, and ANR-12-SAMA-0004), the Fondation de
1155 France, the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale, the Mission Interministérielle de
1156 Lutte-contre-les-Drogues-et-les-Conduites-Addictives (MILDECA), the Assistance-
1157 Publique-Hôpitaux-de-Paris and INSERM (interface grant), Paris Sud University IDEX
1158 2012; the National Institutes of Health, Science Foundation Ireland (16/ERCD/3797),
1159 U.S.A. (Axon, Testosterone and Mental Health during Adolescence; RO1 MH085772-
1160 01A1), and by NIH Consortium grant U54 EB020403, supported by a cross-NIH
1161 alliance that funds Big Data to Knowledge Centres of Excellence.
1162 The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to
1163 publish or preparation of the manuscript.

1164

1165 **Competing Interests**

1166 B.M.P. serves on the DSMB of a clinical trial funded by the manufacturer (Zoll LifeCor)
1167 and on the Steering Committee of the Yale Open Data Access Project funded by
1168 Johnson & Johnson.

1169 B.W.J.H.P. has received research funding (non-related to the work reported here)
1170 from Jansen Research and Boehringer Ingelheim.

1171 The other authors declare no competing interests.

1172

1173 **Author contributions**

1174

1175 **Central analysis:** E.E., H.G., C.C., G.N., P.B., A.R.B., R.P., H.Suzuki, F.K., A.M.Y., I.K.,
1176 J.E., Q.L., N.D., D.L., I.T., J.D.B., P.M.M., A.R., S.D., G.S., P.E.

1177

1178 **Writing of the manuscript:** E.E., H.G., C.C., G.N., P.B., A.R.B., R.P., H.Suzuki, F.K.,
1179 A.M.Y., I.K., D.L., I.T., J.D.B., P.M.M., A.R., S.D., G.S., P.E.

1180

1181 **Association of MRI analysis:** C.C., H.Suzuki, A.M.Y., A.I.B., J.D.B., P.M.M., G.S.

1182

1183 **Alcgen and Charge+ contributor:** (ARIC): A.C.M., M.R.B., B.Y., D.E.A., (CHS):
1184 B.M.P., R.N.L., T.M.B., J.A.B., (FHS): D.L., C.L., (GAPP/Swiss-AF/Beat-AF):
1185 S.Thériault, S.A., D.C., L.R., M.Kühne, (GENOA): S.L.R.K., J.A.S., W.A., S.M.R.,
1186 (GRAPHIC): N.J.S., C.P.N., P.S.B., (GS): A.M.M., T-K.C., C.H., D.P., (HBCS): L.J.,
1187 S.Tuominen, M.M.P., J.G.E., (HRS): D.R.W., S.L.R.K., J.D.F., W.Z., J.A.S.,
1188 (MESA): X.G., J.Y., A.W., J.I.R., (METSIM): M.L., A.S., J.Vangipurapu, J.K.,
1189 (FUSION): M.B., K.L.M., L.J.S., A.U.J., (NESDA): B.W.J.H.P., Y.M., (NFBC): M-
1190 R.J., J.Veijola, M.Männikkö, J.A., (ORCADES): H.C., P.K.J., (VIKING): J.F.W.,
1191 K.A.K., (Croatia-VIS): I.R., O.P., (Croatia-KORCULA): C.H., (PREVEND): N.V.,
1192 P.v.d.H., (OZALC): N.G.M., J.B.W., P.A.L., A.C.H., (SHIP): A.T., H.J.G., S.E.B.,
1193 G.H., (TRAILS-pop): A.J.O., I.M.N., (TRAILS-CC): C.A.H., H.Snieder , (TwinsUK):
1194 T.D.S., M.Mangino, (YFS): L-P.L., M.Kähönen, O.T.R., T.L.

1195

1196 **All authors critically reviewed and approved the final version of the**
1197 **manuscript**

1198

Table 1: Association results of 46 novel alcohol variants identified through the meta-analysis of UK Biobank and AlcGen and CHARGE+. Results are ordered by P-value of combined analysis.

leadSNP						Combined			UKB			AlcGen and CHARGE+		
Nearest_Gene	Annotated Gene	rsID_LEAD_SNP	CP	EA	EAF	BETA	SE	P	BETA	SE	P	BETA	SE	P
MAPT	STH	rs1991556	17:44083402	A	0.22	-0.012	0.001	4.5E-23	-0.013	0.001	2.4E-21	-0.011	0.004	4.0E-03
RP11-89K21.1	SIX3	rs1004787	2:45159091	A	0.54	0.009	0.001	6.7E-17	0.009	0.001	1.1E-15	0.007	0.003	1.4E-02
SLC39A8	SLC39A8	rs13107325	4:103188709	T	0.07	-0.016	0.002	1.3E-15	-0.017	0.002	4.8E-16	-0.006	0.006	3.6E-01
IZUMO1, RASIP1, FUT1	IZUMO1	rs838145	19:49248730	A	0.55	-0.008	0.001	3.2E-15	-0.009	0.001	2.4E-15	-0.004	0.003	1.7E-01
na	PSMD7	rs1104608	16:73912588	C	0.43	-0.008	0.001	1.2E-14	-0.009	0.001	4.9E-15	-0.003	0.003	2.5E-01
MYBPC3	MYBPC3	rs2071305	11:47370957	A	0.69	0.009	0.001	4.5E-14	0.009	0.001	3.9E-13	0.007	0.003	3.1E-02
na	DRD2	rs7121986	11:113355444	T	0.37	-0.008	0.001	6.2E-14	-0.008	0.001	1.3E-13	-0.005	0.003	1.1E-01
na	DPP6	rs6969458	7:153489725	A	0.47	0.008	0.001	6.4E-14	0.008	0.001	1.3E-12	0.007	0.003	1.5E-02
RP11-308N19.1	ZNF462	rs74424378	9:109331094	T	0.76	0.009	0.001	1.7E-13	0.009	0.001	4.5E-13	0.006	0.003	8.4E-02
ARHGAP15, AC096558.1, RP11-570L15.2	ARHGAP15	rs13024996	2:144225215	A	0.37	-0.008	0.001	4.4E-13	-0.008	0.001	6.6E-13	-0.004	0.003	1.4E-01
MLXIPL	MLXIPL	rs34060476	7:73037956	A	0.87	-0.011	0.002	5.0E-13	-0.012	0.002	1.4E-13	-0.004	0.004	4.1E-01
na	FAM178A	rs61873510	10:102626510	T	0.33	-0.008	0.001	5.1E-13	-0.008	0.001	9.8E-12	-0.008	0.003	1.7E-02
FTO	FTO	rs1421085	16:53800954	T	0.60	0.008	0.001	9.2E-13	0.007	0.001	1.7E-10	0.010	0.003	9.2E-04
na	PMFBP1	rs11648570	16:72356964	T	0.89	-0.012	0.002	2.1E-12	-0.011	0.002	1.5E-10	-0.013	0.005	3.4E-03
OTX2, RP11-1085N6.6	OTX2	rs2277499	14:57271127	T	0.34	-0.008	0.001	2.2E-12	-0.007	0.001	2.4E-09	-0.012	0.003	9.1E-05
PDE4B	PDE4B	rs2310752	1:66392405	A	0.43	-0.007	0.001	2.8E-12	-0.008	0.001	1.8E-11	-0.006	0.003	4.2E-02
SERPINA1	SERPINA1	rs112635299	14:94838142	T	0.02	-0.025	0.004	3.7E-12	-0.027	0.004	9.8E-12	-0.017	0.010	9.9E-02
na	AJAP1	rs780569	1:4569436	A	0.71	-0.008	0.001	5.2E-12	-0.008	0.001	1.1E-11	-0.005	0.003	1.2E-01
na	VRK2	rs10496076	2:57942987	T	0.37	-0.007	0.001	9.7E-12	-0.007	0.001	1.3E-09	-0.009	0.003	1.6E-03
ACTR10, C14orf37	ACTR10	rs71414193	14:58685301	A	0.19	-0.009	0.001	1.8E-11	-0.008	0.001	5.8E-09	-0.013	0.004	4.5E-04
BEND4	BEND4	rs16854020	4:42117559	A	0.13	0.010	0.002	2.9E-11	0.010	0.002	5.8E-09	0.016	0.005	6.4E-04
na	SORL1	rs485425	11:121544984	C	0.45	-0.007	0.001	6.1E-11	-0.007	0.001	7.3E-11	-0.004	0.003	1.9E-01
SEZ6L2	SEZ6L2	rs113443718	16:29892184	A	0.31	-0.007	0.001	7.4E-11	-0.008	0.001	4.5E-11	-0.003	0.003	2.9E-01
CBX5, RP11-968A15.2	CBX5	rs57281063	12:54660427	A	0.41	0.007	0.001	7.9E-11	0.007	0.001	1.8E-09	0.007	0.003	1.2E-02
na	TNRC6A	rs72768626	16:24693048	A	0.94	0.014	0.002	9.7E-11	0.015	0.002	1.7E-09	0.014	0.006	1.8E-02
SYT14	SYT14	rs227179	1:210216731	A	0.59	-0.007	0.001	1.1E-10	-0.007	0.001	1.4E-09	-0.006	0.003	2.8E-02
TCF4	TCF4	rs9320010	18:53053897	A	0.60	0.007	0.001	1.1E-10	0.007	0.001	1.6E-09	0.007	0.003	2.2E-02
SBK1	NPIP6	rs2726034	16:28336882	T	0.68	0.007	0.001	1.4E-10	0.007	0.001	1.1E-09	0.006	0.003	4.7E-02
ANKRD36	ANKRD36	rs13390019	2:97797680	T	0.87	0.010	0.002	1.6E-10	0.011	0.002	7.0E-11	0.004	0.005	4.5E-01
na	ELAVL4	rs7517344	1:50711961	A	0.17	0.009	0.001	1.9E-10	0.008	0.001	2.5E-07	0.016	0.004	2.1E-05
LINC00461	MEF2C	rs4916723	5:87854395	A	0.58	0.007	0.001	2.1E-10	0.007	0.001	5.1E-10	0.005	0.003	1.1E-01
ARPC1B, ARPC1A	ARPC1B	rs10249167	7:98980879	A	0.87	0.010	0.002	2.9E-10	0.009	0.002	8.1E-08	0.015	0.004	3.8E-04
EFNB3, WRAP53	EFNB3	rs7640	17:7606722	C	0.80	0.008	0.001	4.3E-10	0.009	0.001	1.3E-09	0.006	0.004	9.9E-02
RP11-501C14.5	IGF2BP1	rs4794015	17:47067826	A	0.41	0.007	0.001	4.3E-10	0.006	0.001	5.4E-08	0.009	0.003	1.2E-03
TCAP, PNMT, STARD3	TCAP	rs1053651	17:37822311	A	0.27	-0.007	0.001	1.1E-09	-0.008	0.001	8.4E-10	-0.003	0.003	2.8E-01
na	AADAT	rs7698119	4:171070910	A	0.49	-0.006	0.001	1.3E-09	-0.006	0.001	1.6E-07	-0.009	0.003	1.6E-03
STAT6, AC023237.1	STAT6	rs12312693	12:57511734	T	0.55	-0.006	0.001	1.5E-09	-0.006	0.001	9.5E-09	-0.005	0.003	5.6E-02
SCN8A	SCN8A	rs7958704	12:51984349	T	0.41	-0.006	0.001	1.6E-09	-0.006	0.001	1.7E-08	-0.006	0.003	3.5E-02
ACSS3	ACSS3	rs11114787	12:81595700	T	0.27	0.007	0.001	2.0E-09	0.007	0.001	2.7E-08	0.007	0.003	2.4E-02
RP11-32K4.1	BHLHE22	rs2356369	8:64956882	T	0.52	-0.006	0.001	2.0E-09	-0.006	0.001	4.1E-08	-0.007	0.003	1.6E-02
ZRANB2-AS2	ZRANB2	rs12031875	1:71585097	A	0.82	-0.008	0.001	2.2E-09	-0.008	0.001	7.6E-08	-0.010	0.004	8.7E-03
MSANTD1, HTT	MSANTD1	rs12646808	4:3249828	T	0.66	0.007	0.001	2.4E-09	0.007	0.001	1.1E-09	0.002	0.003	4.7E-01
TENM2	TENM2	rs10078588	5:166816176	A	0.52	0.006	0.001	2.5E-09	0.006	0.001	4.3E-08	0.007	0.003	1.9E-02
IGSF9B	IGSF9B	rs748919	11:133783232	T	0.79	0.008	0.001	3.3E-09	0.008	0.001	1.0E-08	0.005	0.003	1.1E-01
AC010967.2	GPR75-ASB3	rs785293	2:53023304	A	0.57	-0.006	0.001	3.3E-09	-0.006	0.001	3.2E-08	-0.006	0.003	3.8E-02
BDNF, RP11-587D21.4	BDNF	rs988748	11:27724745	C	0.21	-0.008	0.001	4.4E-09	-0.007	0.001	1.2E-07	-0.010	0.004	8.3E-03

SNP: Single Nucleotide polymorphism; LocusName: Nearest Gene; rsID_LEAD_SNP: Rs ID number of the lead SNP; CP: Chromosome/Position (build hg19/37); EA: Effect allele of the discovered SNP; EAF: Frequency of the effect allele; BETA_comb: Effect size in meta-analysis; SE_comb: Standard Error of the effect in meta-analysis; P_comb: Meta-analysis P-value; BETA_UKB: Effect size in UK Biobank analysis; SE_UKB: Standard Error of the effect in the UK Biobank analysis; P_UKB: UK Biobank analysis P-value; BETA_AlcGenCHARGE+: Effect size in the AlcGen meta-analysis; SE_AlcGenCHARGE+: Standard Error of the effect in the AlcGen meta-analysis; P_AlcGenCHARGE+: AlcGen meta-analysis P-value

1200 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

1201 **Figure 1. Manhattan plot showing *P*-values from discovery genome-wide**
1202 **association meta-analysis with alcohol intake (log g/d) among 480,842 individuals**
1203 **across UK Biobank, AlcGen and CHARGE+, excluding known variants.** The *P*-value
1204 was computed using inverse variance fixed effects models. The y axis shows the –
1205 $\log_{10} P$ values and the x axis shows their chromosomal positions. Horizontal blue line
1206 represents the threshold of $P = 5 \times 10^{-9}$.

1207
1208 **Figure 2. Association of alcohol intake loci with other traits.** Plot shows results from
1209 associations with other traits which were extracted from the PhenoScanner database
1210 for the 46 novel sentinel SNPs including proxies in Linkage Disequilibrium ($r^2 \geq 0.8$)
1211 with genome-wide significant associations. Each colored line connects a specific
1212 variant with the associated traits and diseases.

1213
1214 **Figure 3. Mediation effect of the grey matter volume of bilateral putamen on the**
1215 **relationship between SNP rs13107325 and alcohol intake.** The green is for left
1216 putamen, and, the red is for the right one. We use ‘a’ for the relationship between
1217 rs13107325 and putamen, ‘b’ for the relationship between putamen and alcohol
1218 consumption, ‘c’ for the relationship between rs13107325 and alcohol consumption,
1219 ‘c’ for the relationship between rs13107325 and alcohol consumption after
1220 excluding the effect of putamen, and ‘ab’ as the mediation effect. The significance
1221 tests are based on the bootstrapping method (10,000 times). Z- statistics and the
1222 corresponding *P* values are provided in parentheses. The brain icon was created
1223 using Mango software, version 4.1 (<http://ric.uthscsa.edu/mango/>).

1224
1225 **Figure 4. Comparison of *Zip8* alcohol phenotypes in *Drosophila*.** Flies were exposed
1226 to 100/50 Ethanol/Air vapor for 30 min for exposure 1, and the time to 50% loss of
1227 righting was determined (ST-50, sedation time). After recovery on food for 4 hours,
1228 flies were re-exposed to the same vapors, and the second ST-50 recorded (left side).
1229 The resulting increase in ST-50, i.e. tolerance, is shown on the right. In a)
1230 overexpressed human *hZIP8* in *ics*-expressing cells flies are compared against
1231 controls whereas in b) knockdown of the fly ortholog *dZip71B* is compared against
1232 controls. In c) flies carrying two transposon insertions in the endogenous *dZip71B*
1233 gene are compared against controls. Significance levels: *** $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * P
1234 < 0.05 . Exact *P*-values are presented in the text.

1235







